



NOVA

University of Newcastle Research Online

nova.newcastle.edu.au

Eather, Narelle; Morgan, Philip J.; Lubans, David R. "Improving the fitness and physical activity levels of primary school children: results of the fit-4-fun group randomized controlled trial" Preventive Medicine Vol. 56, Issue 1, p. 12-19 (2013)

Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2012.10.019>

Accessed from: <http://hdl.handle.net/1959.13/1053094>

1 Improving the fitness and physical activity levels of primary school children: Results of the Fit-4-Fun group
2 randomized controlled trial

3
4 Narelle Eather^{a b}, Philip J Morgan^{a b*}, David R Lubans^{a b}.

5
6
7 ^a Priority Research Centre in Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Education, University of Newcastle,
8 Callaghan Campus, Newcastle, AUSTRALIA.

9 ^b Faculty of Education & Arts, University of Newcastle, AUSTRALIA.

10
11
12 *Corresponding author

13
14 Professor Philip. J. Morgan
15 School of Education
16 Faculty of Education and Arts
17 University of Newcastle
18 Callaghan NSW Australia 2308
19 + 61 2 4921 7265 (PH)
20 + 61 2 4921 7407 (Fax)

21
22
23 Email addresses:

24 NE: narelle.eather@newcastle.edu.au

25 DRL: david.lubans@newcastle.edu.au

26 PJM: philip.morgan@newcastle.edu.au

1 **Abstract**

2 *Objective:* To evaluate the impact of a multi-component school-based physical activity intervention
3 (Fit-4-Fun) on health-related fitness and objectively measured physical activity in primary school children.

4 *Methods:* Four Hunter primary schools were recruited in April, 2011 and randomized by school into
5 treatment or control conditions. Participants included 213 children (mean age = 10.72 years \pm 0.6; 52.2%
6 female) with the treatment group (n=118) completing the 8-week Fit-4-Fun Program. Participants were
7 assessed at baseline and 6-month follow-up, with a 91% retention rate. Cardio-respiratory fitness (CRF)
8 (20m shuttle run) was the primary outcome, and secondary outcomes included body composition (BMI,
9 BMI_Z), muscular fitness (7-stage sit-up test, Push-up test, Basketball throw test, Standing Jump), flexibility
10 (Sit and Reach) and physical activity (7 days pedometry).

11 *Results:* After 6-months, significant treatment effects were found for CRF
12 (Adjusted mean difference, 1.14 levels, $p < 0.001$), body composition (BMI mean, -0.96 kg/m^2 , $p < 0.001$ and
13 BMI z-score mean -0.47 z-scores, $p < 0.001$), flexibility (sit & reach mean, 1.52cm, $p = 0.0013$), muscular
14 fitness (sit-ups) (mean 0.62 stages, $p = 0.003$) and physical activity (mean, 3253 steps/day, $p < 0.001$). There
15 were no group by time effects for the other muscular fitness measures.

16 *Conclusions:* A primary school-based intervention focusing on fitness education significantly
17 improved health-related fitness and physical activity levels in children.

18
19 **Key words:** Health-related physical fitness, physical activity, intervention, children, school.

20 Trial Registration No: ACTRN12611000976987

21

1 **Introduction**

2 Physical fitness is an important predictor of physical and psychological health in young people
3 (Ortega et al., 2008; Parfitt et al., 2009). Recent studies demonstrate that children who display high levels of
4 health-related fitness (HRF) (e.g. cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular fitness, flexibility and body
5 composition), have a decreased risk of developing cardiovascular disease and other chronic illnesses
6 (McMurray and Anderson, 2010), are less likely to suffer from anxiety and depression (Parfitt et al., 2009),
7 and are more likely to perform better academically (Grissom, 2005; Van Dusen et al., 2011). Evidence also
8 confirms that a large proportion of children are unfit (Ortega et al., 2011; Tomkinson et al., 2003), that
9 children's fitness levels decline with age and fatness levels increase with age (Stratton et al., 2007), and that
10 children do not participate in physical activity of sufficient volume and intensity to accrue the associated
11 health benefits (Booth et al., 2005; Currie et al., 2008; Ortega et al., 2011). Considering the low levels of
12 physical activity typically observed among youth (AHKC, 2012; Ekelund et al., 2011; Hardy et al., 2010)
13 and secular declines in youth fitness levels (Boddy et al., 2012; Tomkinson and Olds, 2007; Tremblay et al.,
14 2010), there is an urgent need to develop and evaluate interventions that promote high intensity activity but
15 that are also appealing to young people. Indeed, the latest national physical activity guidelines include
16 physical fitness parameters (USDHHS, 2009).

17 The school, via the curriculum, school ethos and community, has been widely acknowledged as an
18 ideal setting in which to provide physical activity opportunities and to educate students about the importance
19 of physical activity and the value of achieving and/or maintaining HRF standards (IUHPE, 2008; USDHHS,
20 2009). The Health and Physical Education (HPE) curriculum is considered to be focal point for physical
21 activity promotion in the school setting (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2011; Crawford, 2009;
22 Kriemler et al., 2011). However, studies have questioned the quality and quantity of HPE lessons delivered
23 in primary schools (McKenzie et al., 1994; McKenzie et al., 1995; McKenzie et al., 1993; Morgan and
24 Hansen, 2007), with teachers reporting a range of barriers to achieving important student outcomes
25 (Fairclough and Stratton, 2005; Kriemler et al., 2011; Morgan and Hansen, 2008). Evidently, the
26 development of effective HPE programs that teachers can feasibly deliver, are clearly warranted.

27 Research in the area of physical activity and HRF in children is growing and the importance of
28 designing and implementing quality HRF programs for children has emerged in the literature (Kriemler et
29 al., 2011). A recent review of school-based physical activity and HRF interventions reported significant
30 treatment effects in at least one measure of physical activity (for all 20 interventions), and 6 out of 11 trials
31 reported a significant positive effect on HRF (Kriemler et al., 2011). However, only two of these physical
32 activity interventions were considered high quality due to their rigorous methodological processes, and the
33 fitness focus was often limited to cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), rather than all HRF components (Kriemler

1 et al., 2011). There is also limited evidence for physical activity and fitness programs that have a theoretical
2 framework and adopt a multi-component approach (including a HPE curriculum component, behavior
3 modification focus, family involvement, and delivered by a PE expert) - thus restricting their potential
4 impact on fitness and behavior (Dobbins, De Corby, Robeson et al., 2009).

5 The Fit-4-Fun study was designed to overcome the limitations identified in the literature and to
6 evaluate an innovative school-based physical activity program that utilised the three critical components of
7 the Health Promoting School (HPS) framework (IUHPE, 2008). The Fit-4-Fun program aimed to build a
8 school environment / ethos that supports physical activity, to create links between the school and the home
9 via parental and family involvement in the program, and to support teaching and learning through the
10 implementation of a quality HPE program. The Fit-4-Fun program was also based on Bandura's Social
11 Cognitive Theory and Harter's Competence Motivation Theory and aimed to address possible mediators of
12 behavior change in relation to physical activity in children (e.g. social support, self-efficacy, supportive
13 environment, enjoyment) (Bandura, 1986; Harter, 1985). The feasibility of the Fit-4-Fun program was
14 established in a small pilot study and the program was refined based on the process evaluation findings
15 (Eather et al., 2012). The aim of the current study was to evaluate the Fit-4-Fun program in a cluster
16 randomized controlled trial.

17 **Methods / Design**

18 *Study design and participants*

19 Ethics approval for this study was obtained from the University of Newcastle, NSW, Australia and
20 the Newcastle-Maitland Catholic Schools Office, and is registered with the Australian and New Zealand
21 Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12611000976987). School Principals, teachers, parents and study
22 participants provided written informed consent. The methods of the Fit-4-Fun study have been reported in
23 detail elsewhere (Eather et al., 2011).

24 *Sample size calculation*

25 A power calculation was conducted to determine the sample size necessary to detect changes in the
26 primary outcome CRF (VO₂max). Based on a previous study by Kolle et.al (2009), an increase of
27 6mL/kg/min was regarded as clinically important and achievable in children. Using an alpha of 0.05 and
28 power of 80%, a sample size of 128 was needed to detect a 6mL/kg/min difference between groups. To
29 account for the clustered nature of the data (an intraclass correlation of 0.03 was found for CRF fitness in the
30 KISS school-based intervention) and potential drop-out, 226 participants were recruited from four schools
31 (Kriemler et al., 2010).

1 In summary, Fit-4-Fun was a group RCT with 226 Stage 3 (Grade 5 and 6) students from four
2 primary schools (mean age 10.7 ± 0.6 years; 52.2% female) located in the Hunter Region, NSW, Australia.
3 Schools were randomized into the Fit-4-Fun treatment (n=118) or wait-list control conditions (n=108)
4 following baseline assessments. The random allocation sequence was generated by a computer-based
5 random number-producing algorithm and completed by a researcher not involved in the project to ensure an
6 equal chance of allocation to each group. Assessments were conducted in April (baseline), June (immediate
7 post-intervention) and December (6-month follow-up), 2011, and completed by trained research assistants
8 who were blinded to treatment conditions at baseline assessments.

9 *Treatments*

10 The Fit-4-Fun intervention was informed by the Fit-4-Fun pilot study (Eather et al., 2011) and a
11 detailed description of the intervention has been reported previously (Eather et al., 2012).

12 *Intervention:* The development of the Fit-4-Fun program was guided by Bandura's Social Cognitive
13 Theory and Harter's Competence Motivation Theory behavior (Bandura, 1986; Harter, 1985), and included
14 three major components based on the HPS framework (IUHPE, 2008). These included: an 8-week HPE
15 curriculum program (60min / week), an 8-week home activity program (3 x 20min per week), and an 8-week
16 daily break-time activity program (recess and lunch). The program was delivered by a member of the
17 research team who is a trained physical educator and a detailed outline of the program components are
18 displayed in Table 1.

19 *Control (wait- list control group):* The control group participated in their usual 60min / week HPE
20 lesson over the 8-week intervention period delivered by their normal classroom teacher. The control group
21 received the Fit-4-Fun program resources after the 6-month assessments.

22 Demographic information (i.e., age, sex, language spoken at home, country of birth) was collected
23 via a student questionnaire.

24 *Primary outcome:*

25 CRF was measured using the 20m shuttle run test using standardized testing protocols (IUHPE,
26 2008).

27 *Secondary outcomes:*

28 *Muscular fitness* was measured using the Standing jump (Leger and Lambert, 1982), 7- stage Sit-up
29 (Castro-Pinero et al., 2010; Mackenzie, 2005), Basketball throw (Gore, 2000) and Push-up tests (ACHPER,
30 2004). *Flexibility* was measured using the Sit and Reach test (back saver) (Welk and Merideth, 2008). *Body*
31 *composition* was determined by calculating body mass index (BMI) using the standard equation
32 (weight[kg]/height[m]²) and body mass index z-scores (BMIz) (Welk and Merideth, 2008) were also used to
33 determine relative weight status (Must and Andersen, 2006).

1 *Physical activity:* Participants wore sealed Yamax SW700 pedometers (Yamax Corporation,
2 Kumamoto City, Japan) for 7 days (including at least three consecutive days and one weekend day) (Cole et
3 al., 2000) to determine their physical activity levels. Pedometers have been shown to be a valid and reliable
4 objective measure of physical activity (Schneider et al., 2003). To minimise the amount of lost data (i)
5 teachers recorded participants results each morning at the same time, (ii) on weekends an information and
6 recording sheet was sent home to parents, and (iii) teachers were asked to remind students to wear their
7 pedometer during all waking hours. Non-wearing periods (e.g. during participation in water sports), were
8 recorded and adjusted for via imputation (1000 steps for 10 minutes of moderate –vigorous activity and
9 1500 steps for vigorous activity) (McNamara et al., 2010).

10 *Process evaluation*

11 Measures of recruitment, retention, adherence and satisfaction were used to examine the feasibility
12 of the Fit-4-Fun program. Evaluation questionnaires were administered to determine students' and teachers'
13 satisfaction of the various program components and participation in extra-curricular and break-time
14 activities (see Table 1) on a six-point likert scale from strongly disagree to strongly agree (e.g. "I enjoyed
15 the theory-based learning activities and labs").

16 *Statistical methods*

17 Differences between participants in the treatment and control groups at baseline were examined
18 using Chi square (χ^2) and independent samples *t*-tests in PASW Statistics 17 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL)
19 software. Means and standard deviations were calculated for all variables, with the significance level set at
20 0.05 for all analyses.

21 Statistical analyses was conducted using linear mixed models with PROC MIXED in SAS V 9.1
22 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) and alpha levels were set at $p < .05$. Mixed models were used to assess all
23 outcomes (primary and secondary) for the impact of treatment group (Treatment and Control), time (treated
24 as categorical with levels baseline, 10-week and 6-month) and group-by-time interaction. This approach was
25 preferred to using baseline scores as covariates, as the baseline scores for subjects who dropped out at 3
26 months and/or at 6 months were retained, consistent with an intention-to-treat analysis (Mallinckrodt et al.,
27 2004). To examine potential clustering of effects at the school level, treatment and treatment-by-time were
28 nested in the school condition and included as a fixed effect. School attended did not significantly contribute
29 to any of the models exploring the effects of primary or secondary outcomes and were removed from the
30 final models.

31 **Results**

32 *Overview*

1 Figure 1 illustrates the flow of participants through the trial. Four primary schools were recruited and
2 213 participants were assessed at baseline in April, 2011. The treatment and control groups were similar for
3 all but 2 outcome measures (Sit and reach test & the 7-Stage sit up test) at baseline. Table 2 displays
4 baseline demographic information and reports baseline primary and secondary outcomes.

5 *Changes in primary and secondary outcomes*

6 All 3-month and 6-month data is displayed in Table 3. The 6-month data will be discussed in detail
7 given that school-based interventions often result in immediate changes in physical activity and fitness, but
8 once the intervention ceases the treatment effects are often lost, or not assessed (Dobbins, De Corby, Husson
9 et al., 2009; Kriemler et al., 2011).

10 After 6-months, significant treatment effects were evident in CRF (adjusted mean difference = 1.14
11 levels, $p < 0.001$), body composition (BMI, -0.96 kg/m^2 , $p < 0.001$ and BMI z-score, 0.47 z-scores, $p < 0.001$),
12 flexibility (sit & reach mean, 1.52cm, $p = 0.0013$), muscular fitness (7-stage sit-up, 0.62 stages, $p = 0.003$) and
13 physical activity (3253 steps/day, $p < 0.001$). There were no group by time effects for three measures of
14 muscular fitness (basketball throw, push-ups and standing jump) (Table 3).

15 *Process Evaluation*

16 *Recruitment & Retention:* All data regarding recruitment and retention are displayed in Figure 1.
17 There was no significant difference between study groups with regard to retention ($p > 0.05$).

18 *Adherence:* All eight curriculum sessions were presented at the treatment schools with an attendance
19 rate of 94%. Based on self-report, 47.1% of participants ($n = 48$) participated in the break time activity
20 program on at least 3 occasions per week. No significant relationships were found to exist between
21 participation in break-time activities and baseline physical activity levels or sex. However, a significant
22 difference existed according to age [$\chi^2(8) = 20.63$, $p = 0.008$], 10 yrs $\bar{x} = 2.30$ $sd = 1.423$, 11 years $\bar{x} = 3.30$ sd
23 $= 1.64$, 12 years $\bar{x} = 2.67$ $sd = 1.803$], with older students less likely to participate in break-time activities on
24 more than 3 occasions per week (1=every day; 2=3-4 times per week; 3=1-2times per week; 4=not
25 frequently; 5=never).

26 *Satisfaction:* Mean scores on the evaluation survey categories ranged from 4.29 to 5.33 out of 6
27 (1=*Strongly disagree* to 6=*Strongly agree*) (see Table 4) for the 14 items in the evaluation survey, indicating
28 high to very high overall satisfaction rates for the Fit-4-Fun program. However, students reported difficulties
29 with parent and family involvement in the home program with a mean score of 2.84 and 3.33 out of 6 for
30 perceived parental and family involvement. No injuries or adverse effects were reported during the activity
31 sessions or assessments.

32 **Discussion**

1 The primary aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of a novel, multi-component school-based
2 intervention on HRF and objectively measured physical activity in primary school children. Fit-4-Fun was an
3 innovative fitness education program promoting and providing opportunities for vigorous intensity activity to
4 improve HRF. Treatment effects at 6-month follow-up were found for CRF, body composition, flexibility,
5 muscular fitness (sit-ups) and physical activity. Our process data also supports that teachers and students
6 were highly satisfied with the program.

7 The improvements across multiple HRF domains in this study are particularly encouraging.
8 Significant improvements in the primary outcome, CRF, support previous studies showing that children can
9 improve CRF over time (regardless of weight status) (Chromitz et al., 2010; Resaland et al., 2009), and
10 challenge those researchers who have concluded that physical activity programs are unable to significantly
11 improve CRF in children, due to the relatively high physical activity levels and high inherent aerobic power
12 of children (ceiling effect)(Stone et al., 1998). The magnitude of our CRF results exceeded those reported
13 previously (Dobbins, De Corby, Robeson et al., 2009; Harris et al., 2009; Katz et al., 2010; Magnusson et
14 al., 2012; Thivel et al., 2011) and may be explained by (i) the focus on children’s exercise intensity and
15 overall physical activity (via fun vigorous chasing activities, invasion games and sport challenges promoted
16 during daily recess and lunch breaks at school, and at home), (ii) the level of support given to children by
17 teachers and parents (in the classroom, in the playground and out of the school setting) (USDHHS, 2009), or
18 (iii) to student engagement in the novel program activities (e.g. use of appealing small-sided games, fitness
19 laboratories, fitness circuits and multi-sport challenges). Although limited (Kriemler et al., 2011), previous
20 studies support our findings and demonstrate that the physical fitness levels of youth can improve relatively
21 quickly using short and frequent periods of enjoyable and engaging fitness activities (Faigenbaum et al.,
22 2009; Kriemler et al., 2011; Lubans et al., 2011; Lubans et al., 2010; Slawta and DeNeui, 2010). Our data
23 also aligns with researchers who have succeeded in increasing levels of physical activity at recess and lunch
24 and who highlight the importance of capturing this “free time” during the school day to involve children in
25 physical activity (Huberty et al., 2011; Ridgers et al., 2010; Stratton and Mullan, 2005; Verstraete et al.,
26 2006). Similarly, research in the area of primary school PE, demonstrates that enhancing the quality of PE
27 programs and instruction, and increasing the amount of higher intensity physical activity within the
28 curricular time, induces physical fitness benefits (Kriemler et al., 2011) - especially when the curriculum
29 program is combined with environmental and family components (Dobbins, De Corby, Husson et al., 2009;
30 Kriemler et al., 2011) or is delivered by a trained physical educator (McKenzie et al., 2001; Morgan and
31 Hansen, 2008; Sallis et al., 1997).

32 Our substantial findings regarding body composition are also greater than those reported previously,
33 with a recent meta-analysis stating that physical activity interventions in primary schools do not significantly

1 improve BMI (weighted mean difference -0.05 kg/m^2) (Harris et al., 2009; Magnusson et al., 2012;
2 Sveinsson et al., 2009). Our results suggest that changes in fitness may translate into changes in body
3 composition (Chromitz et al., 2010; Resaland et al., 2009), and that school-based HPE programs that
4 promote vigorous physical activity have good potential as an obesity prevention strategy (Chromitz et al.,
5 2010; Resaland et al., 2009).

6 The literature regarding flexibility training in children is also sparse, with only a few physical
7 activity interventions reporting changes in flexibility (Hutchens et al., 2010). To the authors' knowledge no
8 previous primary school-based physical activity intervention has included strategies designed specifically to
9 improve flexibility in children. One HRF program did not find any treatment effects for flexibility, measured
10 by the sit & reach test (Derri et al., 2004), but differed from our study in that we included educational and
11 practical activities that focused on flexibility in the curriculum sessions and home program (see Table 1), as
12 opposed to a focus on cardiorespiratory fitness, motor skills and nutritional practices.

13 Our positive findings for physical activity are widely supported in the literature, with the majority of
14 school-based physical activity interventions reporting a significant treatment effect in at least one domain of
15 physical activity (in-school, out-of-school or overall), albeit using varied assessment protocols (Kriemler et
16 al., 2011). A recent successful 10-month primary school-based study called GreatFun2Run by Gorley et. al.
17 (2011) reported a significant increase in daily physical activity (Treatment minus Control = 1532 steps per
18 day) which is considerably lower than the improvements found in the Fit-4-Fun study (Treatment minus
19 Control = 3412 steps per day)(Gorely et al., 2011).

20 The lack of treatment effects for three of the muscular fitness tests (Push-up test, Basketball Throw,
21 Standing Jump) may be attributed to the self-directed nature of the program and lack of parental
22 participation and /or support. It could be proposed that some students may have opted to perform the 'easier'
23 activities in the home program or to perform the challenging muscular fitness activities less often, especially
24 if they were not supported. This theory aligns with SCT (Bandura, 1986) and with Robbins et. al. (2004),
25 who propose that levels of self-efficacy and support predict an individual's effort during exercise, their
26 willingness to participate, and the frequency of participation - especially during very intense exercise
27 (Bandura, 1986; Robbins et al., 2004). The Fit-4-Fun program did target levels of self-efficacy and social
28 support, and pre-intervention "parent and child" information and practical sessions were held after school.
29 However, parents are notoriously difficult to engage in school-based interventions (Lubans et al., 2009) and
30 parental attendance at these sessions was poor (<30%), many students were not supported in the home
31 environment regarding completion of home-based tasks, and may not have felt confident to try activities on
32 their own (Samson and Solmon, 2011).

1 Emerging data increasingly supports the need for programs that promote and improve muscular
2 fitness in children with evidence showing independent associations between muscular fitness (strength,
3 endurance and power) and insulin sensitivity and clustered metabolic risk (Artero et al., 2011; Magnusson et
4 al., 2011; Steene-Johannessen et al., 2009). The inclusion of regular ‘muscle and bone strengthening’
5 physical activity recommendations in recent national physical activity guidelines, demonstrates the
6 importance of muscular fitness for population health (Jansseen and LeBlanc, 2010; USDHHS, 2009). The
7 development of suitable strategies to increase participation in ‘more challenging’ muscular fitness activities
8 and to increase parental and family support and participation in these activities is warranted.

9 The inclusion of fitness education and physical fitness testing in primary schools is a highly debated
10 topic. In the past, fitness testing in schools frequently dominated the fitness education program or was
11 performed in isolation, where learning was not facilitated and the testing environment often invoked
12 negative emotional reactions from students (Graf et al., 2008; Jago et al., 2010). However, as demonstrated
13 by the Fit-4-Fun Program, the appropriate delivery of fitness training and assessment within a
14 comprehensive HPE curriculum in the primary school can be successful in primary school HPE programs
15 (Cale and Harris, 2009; Wiersma and Sherman, 2008). This study has shown success in using fitness
16 assessment to facilitate the learning of physical fitness concepts and as a tool for developing self-evaluation
17 skills, developing physical activity goals, monitoring progress and motivating children to adopt physically
18 active lifestyle behaviors at school and at home. In addition, the Fit-4-Fun Program has the potential to be a
19 sustainable school-based program as it is based on the HPE curriculum and is not an addition to an existing
20 over-crowded teaching program in many primary schools (Morgan and Hansen, 2007, 2008).

21 Our process data provide interesting insights into the feasibility and success of the program.
22 Recruitment targets were exceeded and retention and attendance rates were very high. Students were also
23 highly satisfied with the Fit-4-Fun Program (see Table 4). However, adherence to the home program and
24 regular participation in break-time activities was lower than anticipated – which is comparable to those
25 achieved in the Fit-4-Fun pilot study (Eather et al., 2012) but higher than those typically observed in
26 secondary school interventions (Lubans et al., 2011; Lubans et al., 2012; Peralta, 2009). However, low
27 adherence to the break-time program is not surprising given the evidence showing that the majority of
28 primary-school aged children are spending a large percentage of their recess and lunch in either sedentary or
29 light physical activity, and that participation rates decline with age (Ridgers et al., 2010; Ridgers et al.,
30 2012). Limited playground space and the unwillingness of some students to change their current break-time
31 activities may be possible explanations for our results.

32 *Study strengths and limitations*

1 The multi-component HPE intervention was delivered using the HPS framework, involved a multi-
2 faceted approach to facilitating behavior change and extended HRF education beyond the classroom. The
3 program was evaluated in a cluster RCT by trained research assistants using validated HRF and physical
4 activity measures (Moher et al., 2010). However, there are some limitations that should be noted. Although
5 the use of objectively measured physical activity using pedometry is a strength of this study, pedometers
6 only detect ambulatory activity (and not activities such as resistance training or flexibility training) and
7 therefore true treatment effects might not have been captured. Accelerometers could be used to evaluate
8 future programs as they capture data relating to physical activity intensity, duration and timing (Troost, 2007).
9 Furthermore, it is impossible to recruit a “true” control group in the school setting, given that HPE is a
10 compulsory subject and there are 60mins of mandatory break time available to students during each school
11 day for ‘free play.’

12 *Implications*

13 Increasing physical activity and improving HRF in children has emerged as an important health
14 priority. Research has shown that multi-component school-based interventions that involve a collaborative
15 approach to improving physical activity and fitness (involving the school curriculum, the school
16 environment and families) are the most efficacious (Kriemler et al., 2011). The positive results from this
17 study will add to the growing body of evidence supporting the value of school-based interventions that target
18 improvements in physical fitness in children and youth and will help inform future intervention design and
19 implementation. Given the program was based on the subject matter of the school curriculum, the program
20 has great potential for future large scale dissemination and / or translation into mandatory primary school
21 HPE programs.

23 **Conclusion**

24 In summary, the Fit-4-Fun program resulted in significant improvements in HRF, including, CRF
25 fitness, body composition and flexibility, and improved physical activity levels. Our findings provide further
26 evidence to support the effectiveness of a multi-component school-based fitness intervention for improving
27 the physical fitness and physical activity levels of primary school children.

29 **Author contributions:** Study concept and design: Eather, Morgan, Lubans. Acquisition of data: Eather.
30 Analysis and interpretation of data: Eather. Drafting of manuscript: Eather. Critical revision of the
31 manuscript: Morgan and Lubans. Statistical analysis: Eather and Lubans. Obtained funding: Eather, Lubans,
32 Morgan.

1 **Financial disclosure:** This research project was funded by The Physical Activity and Nutrition Research
2 Centre (The University of Newcastle) and Sports Medicine Australia (research grant).

3 **Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank the following research assistants: Lauren Devereux, Darryn
4 Eather, Peter Lavender, Julie Skinner, Joanne Graham, Jodie Rauch, Lauren Tongue, Lauren Wright, Emma
5 Kavanagh, Emma Champion, Amanda Wintle, Karen Lewis, Elle Coates, Todd Cooper, Ashley Whitmore,
6 Clare Williams, Hollie Tose, Courtney Platt, George Collins, Nicholas Redgrove, Sharyn Keiraz, Josie
7 Bonfield, Sarah Toole, Stephanie Ryan, Belinda Avis, Annie Broderick, Tara Finn, Emily Floyd, Alyce
8 Cook, Ms Tara Henry, Courtney Beames, Emma Spicer and Elleise Bell.

9 We would also like to thank the schools, teachers and study participants. Eather had full access to all the
10 data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

11 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

12 References

13 ACHPER, 2004. Australian Fitness Education Award: Teacher's Handbook and Curriculum Ideas, 2nd ed.
14 The Australian Council of Health Physical Education and Recreation, South Australia.

15 AHKC, 2012. Is active play extinct? The Active Healthy Kids Canada 2012 Report Card on physical activity
16 for children and youth. Active Healthy Kids Canada, Toronto.

17 Artero, E.G., Ruiz, J.R., Ortega, F.B., Espana-Romero, V., Vicente-Rodriguez, G., Molnar, D., Gottrand, F.,
18 Gonzalez-Gross, M., Breidenassel, C., et al., 2011. Muscular and cardiorespiratory fitness are independently
19 associated with metabolic risk in adolescents: the HELENA study. *Pediatric Diabetes* 12:704-12.

20 Bandura, A., 1986. *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A social-cognitive theory*. Prentice Hall,
21 Englewood Cliffs, N.J.

22 Boddy, L.M., Fairclough, S.J., Atkinson, G., Stratton, G., 2012. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness in 9- to
23 10.9-year-old children: SportsLinx 1998-2010. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 44:481-6.

24 Booth, M.L., Denney-Wilson, E., Okely, A.D., Hardy, L.L., 2005. Methods of the NSW Schools Physical
25 Activity and Nutrition Survey (SPANS). *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 8:284-93.

26 Cale, L., Harris, J., 2009. Fitness testing in physical education - a misdirected effort in promoting healthy
27 lifestyles and physical activity? *Physical Education & Sports Pedagogy* 14:89-108.

- 1 Castro-Pinero, J., Ortega, F.B., Artero, E.G., Girela-Rejon, M.J., Mora, J., Sjostrom, M., 2010. Assessing
2 muscular strength in youth: usefulness of the standing long jump as a general index of muscular fitness.
3 *Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research* 24:1810-17.
- 4 Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2011. School health guidelines to promote healthy eating and
5 physical activity. *Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report* 60:1-76.
- 6 Chromitz, V.R., McGowan, R.J., Wendel, J.M., et.al., 2010. Healthy Living Cambridge Kids: a community-
7 based participatory effort to promote healthy weight and fitness. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 18 Suppl 1:S45-53.
- 8 Cole, T.J., Bellizzi, M.C., Flegal, K.M., Dietz, W.H., 2000. Establishing a standard definition for child
9 overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *Br. Med. J.* 320:1240-9.
- 10 Crawford, D., 2009. The future of sport in Australia. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
- 11 Currie, C., Gabhainn, S.N., Godeau, E., al., e., 2008. Inequalities in Young People's Health: HBSC
12 International report from the 2005/6 survey. Health policy for children and adolescents (No.5). WHO
13 Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 14 Derri, Aggeloussis, Vassiliki, Nikos, Christina, 2004. Health-related fitness and nutritional practices: can
15 they be enhanced in upper elementary school students? *Physical Educator*.
- 16 Dobbins, M., De Corby, K., Husson, H., Robeson, P., Tirilis, D., 2009. School-based physical activity
17 programs for promoting physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents aged 6-18 (Review).
18 *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.
- 19 Dobbins, M., De Corby, K., Robeson, P., Husson, H., Tirilis, D., 2009. School-based physical activity
20 programs for promoting physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents aged 6-18 (Review).
21 *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.*
- 22 Eather, N., Morgan, P.J., Lubans, D.R., 2011. Improving health-related fitness in children: the fit-4-Fun
23 randomized controlled trial study protocol. *BMC Public Health* 11.
- 24 Eather, N., Morgan, P.J., Lubans, D.R., 2012. Feasibility and preliminary efficacy of the Fit4Fun
25 intervention for improving physical fitness in a sample of primary school children: a pilot study. *Physical
26 Education & Sports Pedagogy*.

- 1 Ekelund, U., Tomkinson, G., Armstrong, N., 2011. What proportion of youth are physically active?
2 Measurement issues, levels and recent time trends. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 45:859-65.
- 3 Faigenbaum, A.D., Farrell, A.C., Radler, T., Zbojovsky, D., Chu, D.A., Ratamess, N.A., Kang, J., Hoffman,
4 J.R., 2009. Plyo Play: a novel program of short bouts of moderate and high intensity exercise improves
5 physical fitness in elementary school children. *Physical Educator* 66:1-7.
- 6 Fairclough, S.J., Stratton, G., 2005. Physical activity levels in middle and high school physical education: a
7 review. *Pediatric.Exerc.Sci.* 17:217-36.
- 8 Gore, C.J., 2000. *Physiological tests for elite athletes.* Human Kinetics, South Australia.
- 9 Gorely, T., Morris, J.G., Musson, H., Brown, S., Nevill, A., Nevill, M.E., 2011. Physical activity and body
10 composition outcomes of the GreatFun2Run intervention at 20 month follow-up. *International Journal of*
11 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 8:74-85.
- 12 Graf, C., Koch, B., Falkowski, G., et.al., 2008. School-based prevention: effects on obesity and physical
13 performance after 4 years. *J. Sports Sci.* 26:987-94.
- 14 Grissom, J.B., 2005. Physical fitness and academic achievement. *Journal of Exercise Physiology Online*
15 8:11-25.
- 16 Hardy, L.L., King, L., Espinel, P., Cosgrove, C., Bauman, A., 2010. *NSW Schools Physical Activity and*
17 *Nutrition Survey (SPANS) 2010: Full Report.* NSW Ministry of Health, Sydney.
- 18 Harris, K.C., Kuramoto, L.K., Schulzer, M., Retallack, J.E., 2009. Effect of school-based physical activity
19 interventions on body mass index in children: a meta-analysis. *Can. Med. Assoc. J.* 180:719-26.
- 20 Harter, S., 1985. *Manual for the self-perception profile for children.* University of Denver., Denver, CO.
- 21 Huberty, J., Siahpush, M., Beighle, A., 2011. Ready for Recess: A Pilot Study to Increase the Physical
22 Activity in Elementary school Children. *J. Sch. Health* 81:251-57.
- 23 Hutchens, J.G., Colson, J.M., Farley, R.S., Renfrow, M.S., Seguin, E.P., 2010. The impact of a pilot
24 community intervention on health-related fitness measures in overweight children. *International Journal of*
25 *Exercise Science* 3:149-56.

- 1 IUHPE, 2008. Achieving health promoting schools: guidelines for promoting health in schools. International
2 Union for Health Promotion, Cedex, France, pp. 1-4.
- 3 Jago, R., Froberg, K., Cooper, A., al., e., 2010. Three-year changes in fitness and adiposity are
4 independently associated with cardiovascular risk factors among young Danish children. *J. Phys. Act.*
5 *Health* 7:37-44.
- 6 Jansseen, I., LeBlanc, A.G., 2010. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in
7 school-aged children and youth. *International Journal of Behavioural Nutritional and Physical Activity*. 7:1-
8 49.
- 9 Katz, D.L., Cushman, D., Reynolds, J.R., Njike, V., Treu, J.A., Walker, J., Smith, E., Katz, C., 2010. Putting
10 physical activity where it fits in the school day: preliminary results of the ABC (Activity Bursts in the
11 Classroom) for Fitness Program. *Preventing Chronic Disease*.
- 12 Kriemler, S., Meyer, U., Martin, E., Van Sluijs, E.M.F., Andersen, L.B., Martin, B.W., 2011. Effect of
13 school-based interventions on physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents: a review of reviews
14 and systematic update. *Br. J. Sports Med*. 45:923-30.
- 15 Kriemler, S., Zahner, L., Schindler, C., Meyer, H.E., Hartmann, T., Hebestreit, H., Brunner-La Rocca, H.P.,
16 Van Mechelen, W., Puder, J.J., 2010. Effects of a school-based physical activity programme (KISS) on
17 fitness and adiposity in primary school children: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*.
- 18 Leger, L., Lambert, J., 1982. A maximal multistage 20m shuttle run test to predict VO₂max. *Eur. J. Appl.*
19 *Physiol*. 49:1-12.
- 20 Lubans, D.R., Morgan, P.J., Aguiar, E.J., Callister, R., 2011. Randomized controlled trial of the Physical
21 Activity Leaders (PALs) program for adolescent boys from disadvantaged secondary schools *Prev. Med.*
22 52:239-46.
- 23 Lubans, D.R., Morgan, P.J., Callister, R., Collins, C.E., 2009. Effects of integrating pedometers, parental
24 materials, and E-mail support within an extracurricular school sport intervention. *J. Adolesc. Health* 44:176-
25 83.
- 26 Lubans, D.R., Morgan, P.J., Dewar, D., Collins, C.E., Plotnikoff, R.C., Okely, A.D., Batterham, M.J., Finn,
27 T., Callister, R., 2010. The Nutrition and Enjoyable Activity for Teen Girls (NEAT girls) randomized

- 1 controlled trial for adolescent girls from disadvantaged secondary schools: rationale, study protocol, and
2 baseline results. *BMC Public Health* 10:652.
- 3 Lubans, D.R., Morgan, P.J., Okely, A.D., Dewar, D., Collins, C.E., Batterham, M., Callister, R., Plotnikoff,
4 R.C., 2012. Preventing Obesity Among Adolescent Girls: One-Year Outcomes of the Nutrition and
5 Enjoyable Activity for Teen Girls (NEAT Girls) Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *Archives of Pediatric
6 and Adolescent Medicine*.
- 7 Mackenzie, B., 2005. 101 Performance evaluation tests. Jonathan Pye, London.
- 8 Magnusson, C.G., Schmid, M., Dwyer, T., Venn, A., 2011. Muscular fitness and clustered cardiovascular
9 disease risk in Australian youth, *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* Springer-Verlag.
- 10 Magnusson, K.T., Hrafnkelsson, H., Sigurgeirsson, I., Johannsson, E., Sveinsson, T., 2012. Limited effects
11 of a 2-year school-based physical activity intervention on body composition and cardiorespiratory fitness in
12 7-year-old children. *Health Educ. Res.* 27:484-94.
- 13 Mallinckrodt, C.H., Watkin, J.G., Molenberghs, G., Carroll, R.J., Lilly, E., 2004. Choice of the primary
14 analysis in longitudinal clinical trials. *Pharm. Stat.* 3:161-69.
- 15 McKenzie, T.L., Alcaraz, J., Sallis, J.F., 1994. Assessing children's liking for activity units in an elementary
16 school physical education curriculum. *J. Teach. Phys. Educ.* 13:206-15.
- 17 McKenzie, T.L., Feldman, H., Woods, S.E., Romero, K.A., Dahlstrom, V., Stone, E.J., Strikmiller, P.K.,
18 Williston, J.M., Harsha, D.W., 1995. Children's activity levels and lesson context during third-grade
19 physical education. *Res. Q. Exerc. Sport* 66:184-93.
- 20 McKenzie, T.L., Sallis, J.F., Faucette, N., Roby, J.J., Kolody, B., 1993. Effects of a curriculum and inservice
21 program on the quantity and quality of elementary physical education classes. *Res. Q. Exerc. Sport* 64:178-
22 87.
- 23 McKenzie, T.L., Stone, E.J., Feldman, H.A., Epping, J.N., Yang, M., Strikmiller, P.K., Lytle, L., Parcel,
24 G.S., 2001. Effects of the CATCH physical education intervention: teacher type and lesson location. *Am. J.
25 Prev. Med.* 21:101-09.
- 26 McMurray, R.G., Anderson, L.B., 2010. The influence of exercise on metabolic syndrome in youth: A
27 review. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine* 4:176-86.

- 1 McNamara, E., Hudson, Z., Taylor, S.J.C., 2010. Measuring activity levels of young people: the validity of
2 pedometers. *Br. Med. Bull.* 95:121-37.
- 3 Moher, D., Hopewell, S., Schultz, K.F., Montori, V., Gotzsche, P.C., Devereaux, P.J., Elbourne, D., Egger,
4 M., Altman, D.G., 2010. CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting
5 parallel group randomised trials. *BMJ* 340.
- 6 Morgan, P.J., Hansen, V., 2007. Recommendations to improve primary school physical education: the
7 classroom teacher's perspective the classroom teacher's perception. *J. Educ. Res.* 101:99-112.
- 8 Morgan, P.J., Hansen, V., 2008. Classroom teachers' perceptions of the impact of barriers to teaching
9 physical education on the quality of physical education programs. *Res. Q. Exerc. Sport* 79:506-16.
- 10 Morgan, P.J., Hansen, V., 2008. Physical education in primary schools: classroom teachers' perceptions of
11 benefits and outcomes. *Health Educ. J.* 67:196-207.
- 12 Must, A., Andersen, L.B., 2006. Body mass index in children and adolescents: considerations for
13 population-based applications. *Int. J. Obes.* 30:590-4.
- 14 Ortega, F.B., Labayen, I., Ruiz, J.R., Kurvinen, E., Loit, H.M., Harro, J., Veidebaum, T., Sjostrom, M.,
15 2011. Improvements in fitness reduce the risk of becoming overweight across puberty. *Medicine & Sports
16 Science.*
- 17 Ortega, F.B., Ruiz, R.J., Castillo, M.J., Sjostrom, M., 2008. Pediatric Review: Physical fitness in childhood
18 and adolescence: a powerful marker of health. *Int. J. Obes.* 32:1-11.
- 19 Parfitt, G., Pavey, T., Rowlands, A.V., 2009. Children's physical activity and psychological health: the
20 relevance of intensity. *Acta Paediatr.* 98:1037-43.
- 21 Peralta, L.R., 2009. Promoting healthy lifestyles among adolescent boys: The Fitness Improvement and
22 Lifestyle Awareness Program RCT. *Prev. Med.* 48:537-42.
- 23 Resaland, G.K., Andersen, L.B., Mamen, A., Andersen, S.A., 2009. Effects of a 2 year school-based daily
24 physical activity intervention on cardiorespiratory fitness: the Sogndal school-intervention study.
25 *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Sports Science*
26

- 1 Ridgers, N.D., Fairclough, S.J., Stratton, G., 2010. Twelve-month effects of a playground intervention on
2 children's morning and lunchtime recess physical activity levels. *J. Phys. Act. Health* 7:167-75.
- 3 Ridgers, N.D., Timperio, A., Crawford, D., Salmon, J., 2012. Five-year changes in school recess and
4 lunchtime and the contribution to children's daily physical activity. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 46:741-46.
- 5 Robbins, L.B., Pender, N.J., Ronis, D.L., Kazanis, A.S., 2004. Physical activity, self-efficacy, and perceived
6 exertion among adolescents. *Res. Nurs. Health* 27:435-46.
- 7 Sallis, J.F., McKenzie, T.L., Alcaraz, J.E., Kolody, B., Faucette, N., Hovell, M.F., 1997. The effects of a 2-
8 year physical education program (SPARK) on physical activity and fitness in elementary school students.
9 *Am. J. Public Health* August 1997:1329-34.
- 10 Samson, A., Solmon, M., 2011. Examining the sources of self-efficacy for physical activity within the sport
11 and exercise domains. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 4:70-89.
- 12 Schneider, P.L., Crouter, S.E., Lukajic, O., 2003. Accuracy and reliability of 10 pedometers for measuring
13 steps over a 400-m walk. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 35:1179-84.
- 14 Slawta, J.N., DeNeui, D., 2010. Be a Fit Kid: nutrition and physical activity for the fourth grade. *Health*
15 *Promot. Pract.* 11:522-29.
- 16 Steene-Johannessen, J., Anderssen, S.A., Kolle, E., Andersen, L.B., 2009. Low muscle fitness is associated
17 with metabolic risk in youth. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 41:1361-7.
- 18 Stone, E.J., McKenzie, T.L., Welk, G.J., al., e., 1998. Effects of physical activity interventions in youth:
19 review and synthesis. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine* 15:298-315.
- 20 Stratton, G., Canoy, D., Boddy, L.M., Taylor, S.R., Hackett, A.F., Buchan, I.E., 2007. Cardiorespiratory
21 fitness and body mass index of 9-11-year-old English children: a serial cross-sectional study from 1998 to
22 2004. *International Journal of Obesity* 31:1172-78.
- 23 Stratton, G., Mullan, E., 2005. The effect of multicolor playground markings on children's physical activity
24 level during recess. *Prev. Med.* 41:828-33.
- 25 Sveinsson, T., Arngrimsson, S.A., Johannsson, E., 2009. Associations between aerobic fitness, body
26 composition and physical activity in 9-and 15-year-olds. *Eur J Sport Sci* 9:141-50.

- 1 Thivel, D., Isacco, L., Lazaar, N., Aucouturier, J., Ratel, S., Doré, E., Meyer, M., Duché, P., 2011. Effect of
2 a 6-month school-based physical activity program on body composition and physical fitness in lean and
3 obese schoolchildren. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 170:1435–43.
- 4 Tomkinson, G.R., Leger, L.A., Olds, T.S., 2003. Secular Trends in Performance of children and adolescents
5 1980-2000: an analysis of 55 studies of the 20m shuttle run in 11 countries. *Sports Med.* 33:285-300.
- 6 Tomkinson, G.R., Olds, T.S., 2007. Secular changes in aerobic fitness test performance of Australasian
7 children and adolescents in: *Pediatric Fitness. Secular trends and Geographic Variability. Medicine & Sports
8 Science* 50:168-82.
- 9 Tremblay, M.S., Shields, M., Laviolette, M., Craig, C.L., Janssen, I., Gorber, S.C., 2010. Fitness of
10 Canadian children and youth: results from the 2007-2009 Canadian Health Measures Survey. *Health Rep.*
11 21:7-20.
- 12 Trost, S., 2007. Measurement of physical activity in children and adolescents. *American Journal of
13 Lifestyle Medicine* 1:299-314.
- 14 USDHHS, 2009. *Physical Activity Guidelines.* United States Department of Health and Human Services
- 15 Van Dusen, D.P., Kelder, S.H., Kohl, H.W., Ranjit, N., Perry, C.L., 2011. Associations of physical fitness
16 and academic performance among schoolchildren. *J. Sch. Health* 81:733-40.
- 17 Verstraete, S.J., Cardon, G.M., De Clercq, D.L., De Bourdeaudhuij, I.M.M., 2006. Increasing children's
18 physical activity levels during recess periods in elementary schools: the effects of providing game
19 equipment. *Eur. J. Public Health* 16:415-19.
- 20 Welk, G.J., Merideth, M.D., 2008. *FITNESSGRAM / ACTIVITYGRAM: Reference Guide, 3rd, Edition ed.*
21 The Cooper Institute, Dallas, TX.
- 22 Wiersma, L.D., Sherman, C.P., 2008. The responsible use of youth fitness testing to enhance student
23 motivation, enjoyment, and performance. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science* 12:167-
24 83.
- 25
26
27